Reeks,M.W. (2014) arXiv:1409.5714 [physics.flu-dyn]

# The concept of particle pressure in a suspension of particles in a turbulent flow

Michael W Reeks
School of Mechanical & Systems Engineering,
University of Newcastle, UK

arXiv:1409.5714v2 [physics.flu-dyn] 28 Jan 2015

**Abstract**

The Clausius Virial theorem of Classical Kinetic Theory is used to evaluate the pressure of a suspension of small particles at equilibrium in an isotropic homogeneous and stationary turbulent flow. It then follows a similar approach to the way Einstein [1] evaluated the diffusion coefficient of Brownian particles (leading to the Stokes-Einstein relation) to similarly evaluate the long term diffusion coefficient of the suspended particles. In contrast to Brownian motion, the analogue of temperature in the equation of state which relates pressure to particle density is not the kinetic energy per unit particle mass except when the particle equation of motion approximates to a Langevin Equation.

In this short paper I reexamine how in Reeks (1991)[5], the Clausius Virial Theorem was used to obtain the equation of state for a suspension of small particles at equilibrium in a statistically stationary homogeneous isotropic turbulent flow. The idea of using the Virial Theorem came from Fowler's classic book on Statistical Physics [2] where it was used to derive the equation of state of a non ideal gas. There is an obvious analogy between molecules in a gas and particles suspended in a turbulent gas flow. And indeed in applying the Virial Theorem, it doesn't matter that the forces on the individual particles are different from those of the gas molecules or that the kinetic energy of the molecules is derived from their collisions with one another and that for a dilute suspension of particles, it results from their interaction with the underlying turbulent carrier gas flow. In that respect the theorem is completely general. Both systems are considered at equilibrium ($t \to \infty$) when particles / molecules are uniformly mixed in terms of concentration and kinetic energy (temperature). As with molecules in a gas, the suspended particles are confined within the walls of some container that impose an external stress on the particles that is equal and opposite to the pressure exerted by the suspended particles. Because the particles are in equilibrium, the pressure is the same everywhere internally and the same stresses that apply at the walls as physical boundaries apply to any geometrical surface internally (i.e within the container). [1]

[1] Of course dealing with internal *geometrical* surfaces gets round the problem that the physical boundaries influence the carrier flow. We would naturally suppose that this has a negligible effect on the particle. i.e. it is an extremely thin near wall boundary layer and the particle inertia is so great that the particles are unaffected. Alternatively we might consider a semi-impermeable wall that is permeable to the carrier flow but impermeable to the suspended particles.

We thus consider the motion of an individual particle in a suspension of $N$ particles all of the same mass $m$ at equilibrium in a statistically stationary homogeneous isotropic turbulent flow. This particle has a velocity $\boldsymbol{v}$ and position $\boldsymbol{x}$ at time $t$ and is subject to a resistive force (per unit particle mass) proportional to its velocity,$-\beta\boldsymbol{v}$ where $\beta$ is a constant, and a driving force (per unit mass) due to the turbulence $\boldsymbol{f}(t)$ measured along its trajectory at time $t$ which is fluctuating in time on a time scale $\sim \tau_f$ with an average value of zero. The equation of motion of motion of this particle is thus explicitly

$$\frac{d\boldsymbol{v}}{dt} = -\beta\boldsymbol{v} + \boldsymbol{f}(t) + m^{-1}\boldsymbol{F}_e \; ; \; \frac{d\boldsymbol{x}}{dt} = \boldsymbol{v} \tag{1}$$

where $\boldsymbol{F}_e$ is an external force acting on the individual particles which is everywhere zero except at the walls where it is equal and and opposite to the force imposed by the particles impacting at the walls and the source of the particle pressure. For molecules in a gas, $\boldsymbol{F}_e$ also accounts for the inter molecular forces and is therefore non-zero internally. We assume here like an ideal gas, there are no inter particle forces . $\beta^{-1}$ we refer to as the particle response time, measuring the response of the particle to changes in the flow occurring on a timescale of $\tau_f$ . $(\beta\tau_f)^{-1}$ is thus a measure of the particle inertia and is referred to as the particle Stokes number $St$. $St \ll 1$ corresponds to a particle of weak inertia where the particle almost follows the carrier flow, and $St \gg 1$ defines a particle with a high inertia in which $\boldsymbol{f}(t)$ is effectively white noise, i.e on the timescale of the particle motion $\beta^{-1}$. In the case of small particles with a low particle Reynolds number $Re_p$, $\boldsymbol{f}(t) = \beta\boldsymbol{u}(t)$ where $\boldsymbol{u}$(t) is the local carrier flow velocity (along its trajectory at time $t$) so that the net force (per unit mass) due to the carrier flow on a particle with velocity $\boldsymbol{v}$ at time $t$ is given by Stokes drag $\beta(\boldsymbol{u} - \boldsymbol{v})$. Thus Eq.(1) is meant to cover the entire range of Stokes numbers ($0 \leqslant St \leqslant \infty$). In general $\beta$ is a function of the particle Reynolds number $Re_p$ (see Reeks [4] for the value of $\beta$ for high inertia particles).

Multiplying Eq.(1) by $\frac{1}{2}x_i$, rearranging using product differentiation, summing over $i$, and rearranging the equation so that all the time derivative quantities are on the left hand side, we have

$$\frac{1}{4}\frac{d^2x^2(t)}{dt^2} + \frac{1}{4}\beta\frac{dx^2(t)}{dt} = \frac{1}{2}v^2 + \frac{1}{2}\boldsymbol{x}(t)\cdot\boldsymbol{f}(t) + \frac{1}{2}m^{-1}\boldsymbol{F}_e\cdot\boldsymbol{x}(t) \tag{2}$$

where $x = |\boldsymbol{x}|$ and $v = |\boldsymbol{v}|$. Now summing over all $N$ particles in the container of volume $V$ and assuming this volume is sufficiently large that it contains a sufficiently large number of particles to realise a statistically steady state,

$$\frac{1}{4}\sum\left(\frac{d^2x^2(t)}{dt^2} + \beta\frac{dx^2(t)}{dt}\right) = \sum\left(\frac{1}{2}v^2 + \frac{1}{2}\boldsymbol{x}(t)\cdot\boldsymbol{f}(t)\right) + \frac{1}{2}m^{-1}\sum\boldsymbol{F}_e\cdot\boldsymbol{x}(t). \tag{3}$$

The value $x^2(t)$ averaged over all the particles will not change with time at equilibrium since the particles are confined within the walls of the containment and so the derivatives of the average value $x^2$ will be zero[2]. So rearranging the RHS we can write this equation as

$$\frac{1}{2}mV\langle n\rangle\left(\left\langle v^2\right\rangle + \langle\boldsymbol{x}(t)\cdot\boldsymbol{f}(t)\rangle\right) = -\frac{1}{2}\sum\boldsymbol{F}_e\cdot\boldsymbol{x}(t). \tag{4}$$

[2]we are assuming that volume averages and derivatives commute

Eq.(4) is the Virial Equation and the term on the RHS often referred to as the Virial, where $\langle n \rangle$ is the average number density in the container $N/V$ and $\left\langle v^2 \right\rangle$is the net kinetic energy per unit mas of particles $N^{-1}\sum v^2$. The term on the RHS involves an integration over the total stress at the walls of the container. In this case the stress is $-p$ in the direction normal to the surface $S$ of the containment. So

$$\sum \boldsymbol{F}_e \cdot \boldsymbol{x}(t) = -p \int_S \boldsymbol{x} \cdot d\boldsymbol{S} = -p \int_V \boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \boldsymbol{x}\, dV = -3pV. \tag{5}$$

So Eq.(4) can be written as

$$\frac{1}{2} mV \langle n \rangle \left( \left\langle v^2 \right\rangle + \langle \boldsymbol{x}(t) \cdot \boldsymbol{f}(t) \rangle \right) = \frac{3}{2} pV \tag{6}$$

which finally gives the equation of state for the suspended particles , namely

$$\frac{p}{\langle \rho \rangle} = \frac{1}{3} \left\langle v^2 \right\rangle + \frac{1}{3} \langle \boldsymbol{x}(t) \cdot \boldsymbol{f}(t) \rangle \tag{7}$$

where $\langle \rho \rangle$ i.e. the average mass density of the suspended particles, $m \langle n \rangle$(see Eq. (9) of Reeks[5]). We note that from the solution of Eqs.(1) for $t \to \infty$,i.e. equilibrium conditions, so

$$\left\langle v^2 \right\rangle = \beta^{-1} \int_0^\infty e^{-\beta s} \langle \boldsymbol{f}(0 \cdot \boldsymbol{f}(s) \rangle \, ds \; ; \; \langle \boldsymbol{x}(t) \cdot \boldsymbol{f}(t) \rangle = \beta^{-1} \int_0^\infty (1 - e^{-\beta s}) \langle \boldsymbol{f}(0 \cdot \boldsymbol{f}(s) \rangle \, ds \tag{8}$$

and substituting in Eq.(7) gives finally

$$\frac{p}{\langle \rho \rangle} = \frac{1}{3} \beta^{-1} \int_0^\infty \langle \boldsymbol{f}(0 \cdot \boldsymbol{f}(s) \rangle \, ds. \tag{9}$$

We note that in [5] the quantity on the right hand side of Eq.(9) was referred to as the analogue of temperature, not the kinetic energy per unit mass of the particles as it would be if we were dealing with molecules in a gas. This would only be the case for very inert particles $St \gg 1$, when $\boldsymbol{f}(t)$ corresponds to a white noise driving force as is the case for Brownian motion.
We recall also in [5] the analogy that was drawn of the equation of state for the suspended particles with that of a real gas where the pressure is reduced from its ideal gas value by contributions to the virial from the intermolecular forces. For the dispersed phase the pressure, caused by the particle motion, is enhanced by contributions to the virial from net accelerations induced by the fluctuating interphase force (per unit volume), in this case $\langle \rho \boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{x}, t \rangle$ where $\boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{x}, t)$ is the driving force (per unit mass of particles) experienced by particles in an elemental volume of the dispersed phase mixture. In fact we can use the form of $p$ in Eq.(7) to evaluate this term as the dispersed phase approaches equilibrium.

The net momentum equation at equilibrium for an elemental volume of the gas-particle mixture would be given by

$$-\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}\left\langle \rho v_i v_j \right\rangle + \left\langle \rho f_j \right\rangle = 0 \tag{10}$$

(see Eq.(10) in Reeks (1991) [5]). In the case of the suspended particles in an isotropic turbulent flow$\left\langle \rho v_i v_j \right\rangle = \frac{1}{3}\left\langle \rho v^2 \right\rangle \delta_{ij}$ [3] so

$$-\frac{1}{3}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}\left\langle \rho v^2 \right\rangle + \left\langle \rho f_j \right\rangle = 0. \tag{11}$$

The equilibrium condition implies that the pressure defined in Eq. (7) is uniform which means that

$$-\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} p = 0 \tag{12}$$

which substituting the expression for $p$ given in the equation of state Eq.(7) means

$$-\frac{1}{3}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}\left( \left\langle \rho \right\rangle \left\langle v^2 \right\rangle + \left\langle \boldsymbol{x}(t)\cdot \boldsymbol{f}(t) \right\rangle \left\langle \rho \right\rangle \right) = 0 \tag{13}$$

so for the force balance in Eq.(11) to be equivalent to a uniform pressure at equilibrium expressed explicitly in Eq.(13) , $\left\langle \rho f_j \right\rangle$must also be equivalent the gradient of pressure (or in general in situations where the flow is homogeneous but not isotropic to the gradient of a stress tensor) can be interpreted as a diffusive flux for which $\frac{1}{3}\left\langle \boldsymbol{x}(t)\cdot \boldsymbol{f}(t) \right\rangle$is the diffusion coefficient. If $f_i = \beta u_i$ i.e. Stokes drag, then

$$\left\langle \rho u_i \right\rangle = -\frac{1}{3}\left\langle \boldsymbol{x}(t)\cdot \boldsymbol{u}(t) \right\rangle \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}\left\langle \rho(\boldsymbol{x},t \right\rangle \tag{14}$$

and this case $\frac{1}{3}\left\langle \boldsymbol{x}(t)\cdot \boldsymbol{u}(t) \right\rangle$ is what has been referred to as the particle-fluid diffusion coefficient. The density weighted flow velocity $\overline{\boldsymbol{u}} = \left\langle \rho u_i \right\rangle / \left\langle \rho \right\rangle$is necessarily the net flow velocity sampled by a particles in an elemental volume of the carrier flow $\boldsymbol{x}, t$

Finally we recall here the way in [5] the equation of state for the suspended particles at equilibrium was used to evaluate the long term particle diffusion using exactly the same method that Einstein [1] used to evaluate the diffusion coefficient of Brownian particles. Here we have an almost identical particle equation of motion Eq.(1) except the driving force (due to the turbulence carrier flow) is not limited to white noise as it is in the case of Brownian motion due to molecular bombardment of the suspended particles. What Einstein recognised was that the momentum equation (in his case the balance of the pressure gradient with the weight of the particles) implies a diffusion equation for the suspended particles as they approached their long terms equilibrium state and in particular as the average particle concentration $\boldsymbol{\nabla}\left\langle \rho \right\rangle \rightarrow 0$. So instead of an isothermal system, we have

[3] $-\left\langle \rho v_i v_j \right\rangle$is often referred to as the kinetic stresses equivalent to the Reynolds stresses in turbulence modelling.$\frac{1}{3}\left\langle \rho v^2 \right\rangle$ could similarly be referred to as the kinetic pressure

a statistical stationary homogenous isotropic turbulent flow and we consider an equilibrium state in which there is a balance between the pressure gradient and a body force acting on the particles, the obvious one being the weight of the particles, so in effect we are considering the weight of an elemental volume of particles balanced by the pressure gradient acting across it. So if $g$ is the acceleration due to gravity (force per unit mass) acting in the $x_i$ direction, then this implies that

$$g\,\langle\rho\rangle - \frac{\partial p}{\partial x_i} = 0 \tag{15}$$

which substituting the expression for $p$ in Eq.(9) we have

$$g\,\langle\rho\rangle - \frac{1}{3}\beta^{-1}\int_0^\infty \langle \boldsymbol{f}(0)\cdot\boldsymbol{f}(s)\rangle\, ds \frac{\partial\,\langle\rho\rangle}{\partial x_i} = 0. \tag{16}$$

Alternatively we could consider as Einstein did for Brownian motion, this equilibrium as a balance between a convection current $\beta^{-1}g\,\langle\rho\rangle$and a diffusion current $-\epsilon(\infty)\frac{\partial\langle\rho\rangle}{\partial x_i}$ where $\epsilon(\infty)$ denotes the long term particle diffusion coefficient. Thus

$$\beta^{-1}g\,\langle\rho\rangle - \epsilon(\infty)\frac{\partial\,\langle\rho\rangle}{\partial x_i} = 0. \tag{17}$$

So assuming Eqs.(17) is the same as Eq. (16) we must have

$$\epsilon(\infty) = \frac{1}{3}\beta^{-2}\int_0^\infty \langle \boldsymbol{f}(0)\cdot\boldsymbol{f}(s)\rangle\, ds. \tag{18}$$

The interesting result is the case of Stokes drag in which case $\boldsymbol{f} = \beta\boldsymbol{u}$ and

$$\epsilon(\infty) = \frac{1}{3}\int_0^\infty \langle \boldsymbol{u}(0)\cdot\boldsymbol{u}(s)\rangle\, ds, \tag{19}$$

indicating no explicit dependence on particle inertia a result derived by more formal means using Taylor's formula for the particle diffusion coefficient, namely

$$\epsilon(\infty) = \frac{1}{3}\int_0^\infty \langle \boldsymbol{v}(0)\cdot\boldsymbol{v}(s)\rangle\, ds, \tag{20}$$

and then substituting the integral expression for the particle velocity $\boldsymbol{v}$ involving $\boldsymbol{u}(s)$ from $s = 0, t$ , giving the surprising result in Eq.(19) (confirmed by DNS of particle dispersion in an isotropic turbulent flow[6]. This lack of inertia dependence is in contrast to that for the Brownian diffusion coefficient $\epsilon_B$ which from the Stokes-Einstein relation is

$$\epsilon_B = k_B T/m\beta. \tag{21}$$

We can also obtain the same result for the particle diffusion coefficient without invoking the addition of an extra body force, by considering the long term dispersion of particles into an infinite flow (no boundaries).

[4] The momentum equation can be written as

$$\langle\rho\rangle \frac{D\overline{v}_i}{Dt} = -\frac{\partial p}{\partial x_i} - \beta\overline{v}_i \langle\rho\rangle . \tag{22}$$

Recognizing that $\overline{v}_i \langle\rho\rangle$ is the diffusion flux, we can write Eq.(22) as

$$\overline{v}_i \langle\rho\rangle = \beta^{-1}\frac{\partial p}{\partial x_i} - \beta^{-1} \langle\rho\rangle \frac{D\overline{v}_i}{Dt}. \tag{23}$$

Assuming that the inertial acceleration terms on the RHS can be ignored compared to the other terms and that in the long term limit (satisfied if $\beta t \gg 1$) then we have a balance between the drag force acting on an elemental volume of particles and the pressure gradient. Replacing $p$ with the expression given in Eq. (9) gives the value for the long time particle diffusion coefficient$\epsilon(\infty)$ given in Eq.(18) for which in the long time $\beta t \to \infty$, we obtain Fick's Law for particle diffusion

$$\overline{v}_i \langle\rho\rangle \to -\epsilon(\infty)\frac{\partial \langle\rho\rangle}{\partial x_i}. \tag{24}$$

Note there is a self consistency here, in that $\beta t \gg 1$ means particles have lost all memory of their initial conditions, and when $\epsilon(\infty)$ is formally derived from the equation of motion and using of Taylor's formula Eq.(20, a similar condition applies. Of course there is also the implicit assumption that $t/\tau_f \gg 1$.

# References

[1] A. Einstein. On the theory of Brownian motion. Ann, d. Physik, IV:549, 1905.

[2] R. H. Fowler. Statistical Mechanics. CUP, 1966.

[3] M. W. Reeks. On the dispersion of small particles in an isotropic turbulent flow. J. Fluid Mech., 83:529–546, 1977.

[4]Note this is different from considering the suspension of particles at equilibrium within some confined space although it comes to the same result in the end. However it does define the timescales for which the suspended particles approach equilibrium (rather than arbitrarily saying $t \to \infty$). In the equilibrium case we began with, the particles are contained within a finite volume by the walls of the containment which exert a pressure on the particles to maintain that confinement. In the long term dispersion case there are no boundary conditions imposed but as time $t \to \infty$ the particles approach an equilibrium condition within a finite volume of the particles but necessarily one in which although the concentration is reducing, the concentration within the volume approaches a uniform value. We could call this quasi-equilibrium. In this case the mean velocity of the particles approaches zero and the mean drag is balanced by the pressure gradient. This requires from Eq.(22) that in general $\beta^{-1}\overline{v}_i^{-1}D\overline{v}_i/Dt \sim \beta^{-1}\epsilon/L^2$. $L^2 \sim \epsilon t$, which implies that $\beta t \gg 1$.

[4] M.W. Reeks. Eulerian direct interaction applied to the statistical motion of particle. J. Fluid Mech., 83:529–546, 1980.

[5] On a kinetic equation for the transport of particles in turbulent flows. Phys. Fluids, 15:446–456, 1991.

[6] K. D. Squires and J. K. Eaton. Measurements of particle dispersion obtained from direct numerical simulations of isotropic turbulence. J.Fluid Mech., 226:1– 35, 1991.